

Arifin Dwi Saputro · Lilik Sutiarso ·  
 Rudiati Evi Masithoh · Jik Chang Leong ·  
 Katharina Keiblinger  
 Chaleeda Borompichaichartkul  
 Omer Said Toker · Rosnah Shamsudin *Editors*

# Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Environment, Agriculture and Tourism (ICOSEAT 2022) · Volume 26

**OPEN ACCESS**
*Editors*

Arifin Dwi Saputro  
 Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering  
 Universitas Gadjah Mada  
 Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Rudiati Evi Masithoh  
 Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering  
 Universitas Gadjah Mada  
 Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Katharina Keiblinger  
 Institute of Soil Research  
 University of Natural Resources and Life  
 Vienna, Austria

Omer Said Toker  
 Food Engineering  
 Yildiz Technical University  
 Istanbul, Turkey

Lilik Sutiarso  
 Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering  
 Universitas Gadjah Mada  
 Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Jik Chang Leong  
 International Master's Degree Program in  
 Food Science  
 National Pingtung University of Science  
 and Technology, Taiwan

Chaleeda Borompichaichartkul  
 Food Technology  
 Chulalongkorn University  
 Bangkok, Thailand

Rosnah Shamsudin  
 Faculty of Engineering  
 Universiti Putra Malaysia  
 Serdang Selangor, Malaysia



ISSN 2731-7846 ISSN 2468-5747 (electronic)  
 Advances in Biological Sciences Research  
 ISBN 978-94-6463-085-3 ISBN 978-94-6463-086-2 (eBook)  
<https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-086-2>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2023. This book is an open access publication.  
**Open Access** This book is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this book are included in the book's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the book's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

This work is subject to copyright. All commercial rights are reserved by the author(s), whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Regarding these commercial rights a non-exclusive license has been granted to the publisher.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Atlantis Press imprint is published by the registered company Atlantis Press International B.V., part of Springer Nature  
 The registered company address is: Van Godewijkstraat 30 3311 GX Dordrecht Netherlands

## Contents

<b>Peer-Review Statements</b> .....	1
<i>Arifin Dwi Saputra, Lilik Sutiarso, Rudiati Evi Masitoh, Jik Chang Leong, Katharina Kestlinger, Chaleeda Borompichacharkul, Omer Said Tokar, and Rosnah Shamsudin</i>	
<b>Mathematic Modelling of Bok Choy Plant Canopy Area on Different Artificial Light at Plant Factory</b> .....	3
<i>DA Setiawati, L Sutiarso, Ngaditih, Martiningrum, AP Nugroho, GMD Putra, and MSI Chaer</i>	
<b>Effects of Concentration and Soaking Duration of Shallot Extract on Yield and Growth of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> L.)</b> .....	12
<i>Sire Nival Ledy, Henry Hidayati Sofri, Sri Suprah Moesyanah, and Jajang Supriatna</i>	
<b>Plant Growth Prediction Model of Red Chili (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.) by Different Manipulation Environment</b> .....	19
<i>GMD Putra, L Sutiarso, AP Nugroho, Ngaditih, and MSI Chaer</i>	
<b>Study of Recalcitrant Behaviour of <i>Arthocarpus integer</i></b> .....	28
<i>Katy Puri Utami, Abubakar Ibrahim, and Evyusvita Rastam</i>	
<b>The Effect of Cocomi Coir Waste as A Mixture of Planting Media in A Natural Greenhouse</b> .....	32
<i>Gogassage N. De Sife, Sirajuddin H. Abdullah, Joko Sumarsono, Atih Priyati, Diah A. Setiawati, and Reza K. Nurrohmam</i>	
<b>Drip irrigation system on Pakcoy (<i>Brassica rapa subsp.</i>) Based on Microcontroller in open land, Bolo District, Bima Regency</b> .....	41
<i>Ida Ayu Wulhiantari, Joko Sumarsono, and M Damawan</i>	
<b>Analysis of land suitability of leading commodities tomato and chili in the sub-district of Moyo Hill, Sumbawa Regency, West Nusa Tenggara</b> .....	47
<i>Joko Sumarsono, Ida Ayu Wulhiantari, and Sandy Eka Saputra</i>	
<b>Advanced Results Test of Upland Rice Lines 7th Generation (F<sub>7</sub>) from Local Rice X Superior Varieties with Lodging Resistance in East Belitung Regency</b> .....	55
<i>Eries Dyah Mawitkarini, Gigih Irena Prayoga, Ratna Susni, Herry Maris Saputra, and Handika Pratama</i>	
<b>Local Wisdom of Horticultural Farmers in Adapting and Facing the Obstacles in Climate Change</b> .....	66
<i>Yuli Haryati, Susana Barbara Patricia SM, Ayu Puspita Ariam, Bakhtoni Habriantono, and Sukron Ramadhana</i>	
<b>Yield Results Evaluation of Local Coffee Clones Tanggamus – Lampung</b> .....	76
<i>Re Ernawati, Nila Wardani, Agung Lasmomo, Meidalyantiyah and Dian Meitiharari</i>	

Bahan dengan hak cipta

<b>Worker's location prediction system for providing location-based service inside poultry houses</b> .....	470
<i>Young-Woo Choi, Na-Eun Kim, Gun-ho Lee, Jeong-Hoon Song, Seok-Gun Hong, and Hyeon-Tae Kim</i>	
<b>Visible-Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy for Rhodamine B Detection in Chili Paste Using Principal Component Analysis</b> .....	476
<i>Hannan Cintya Chairatiza, Jumeri, Rudiati Evi Masitoh, Wahyu Suparsono, and Nafli Khuriyati</i>	
<b>The Utilization of Sentinel-1 Soil Moisture Satellite Imagery for Crop's Water Requirement Analysis in the Dryland Agriculture</b> .....	484
<i>M. Wiji Nur Hudlo, Hanggar Ganara Marwandha, M. Ramadhan AG, and Ngaditih Ngaditih</i>	
<b>Utilization of Big Data in Oil Palm Plantation to Predict Production Using Artificial Neural Network Model</b> .....	492
<i>Muhdan Syarony, Andri Prima Nugroho, Lilik Sutiarso, Sawardi, Mukhes Sri Manu, Ardan Wiratmoko, Sakarman, and Septia Primananda</i>	
<b>Development of Automatic Counting System for Palm Oil Tree Based on Remote Sensing Imagery</b> .....	503
<i>Mukhes Sri Manu, Andri Prima Nugroho, Muhdan Syarony, Ardan Wiratmoko, Sawardi, and Lilik Sutiarso</i>	
<b>Development of Cloud-Based Decision Support System for Fertilizer Management - A Case Study in Wilmar Oil Palm Plantation</b> .....	509
<i>Ardan Wiratmoko, Andri Prima Nugroho, Mukhes Sri Manu, Muhdan Syarony, Sawardi, Sakarman, and Lilik Sutiarso</i>	
<b>MISMEs' Technology Readiness Indicator and Index in Adopting Digital Marketing</b> .....	517
<i>M. Wahyudin, N. A. Muhammad, W. Suparsono, C. C. Chen, and K. M. Tsai</i>	
<b>Pigmented Rice Niche Market Expansion: Green Consumer Attitudes and Purchase Intention to be Considered</b> .....	524
<i>Dyah Ismayowati, Shifira Wuryandani, and Fadhila Kurnia Wijayanti</i>	
<b>Operator Workload Analysis of Corn Seed Planting Equipment in Corn Planting</b> .....	532
<i>Ansar, Nazaruddin, and Atri Dewi Aziz</i>	
<b>The Effect of Tannin Sources from <i>Acacia mangium</i> Willd., <i>Sweetonia mahagoni</i>, and <i>Arthocarpus heterophyllus</i> Leaves in Pellets on <i>In Vitro</i> Nutrient Digestibility</b> .....	538
<i>Rofi Prima Maulana, Chusnul Hanim, Muhtisin, Muhsin Al Anas, and Lies Mira Husiati</i>	
<b>Blue Economy and the Digital Transformation of Micro Small Medium Enterprises</b> .....	545
<i>Christanty V. Layman, Sabrina O. Sibombing, and Liza Handoko</i>	
<b>Performance Analysis of MOS Sensors on Electronic Nose for Synthetic Flavor Classification</b> .....	552
<i>Radi, Barokah, Luthfi Fadhilah Zamzami, and Andi Setiawan</i>	
<b>Response of Semiconductor Sensor in An Electronic Nose with Multi-Sample Delivery System</b> .....	559
<i>Radi, Barokah, Luthfi Fadhilah Zamzami, and Andi Setiawan</i>	

**The Sabila Farm Culture, Education and Tourism for Melenid Indonesia 4.0** ..... 793  
*Yuke Ardhiati, Ashri Praveshti D, Kisti Mutiara Jati, Aldini Mustika Jati, Sahadat Nafasia, Chaufiah Nur Haerula, Agus Wahyu, Rafli Alfano, Vania Kirana, Fatmarwati Indah Putri, Chandra Alfiansyah, Raditya Raka Putra, and Rajah Indrayana*

**The Effectiveness of Asset Management at Matras Beach, Bangka Regency** ..... 798  
*Agung Fervanda and Puja Wulandari*

**Collaborative Governance in Empowerment of Small and Medium Micro Businesses (MSMEs) in the Era of Modern Retail Business Development (Case Study of MSME Grocery Stores in Pangkal Pinang City)** ..... 802  
*Wahyu Hidayat and Anggo Adi Saputra*

**Community-Based Artificial Tourism Development Aek Bedelew On Tanjung Patah Beach Belitung, Bangka** ..... 809  
*Ying Gaoi Ferryanti and Riza Varnana*

**Tourism in the Sharia Region Of West Aceh** ..... 816  
*Sudirman Alby, Maria Bares, Cut Amatul Husna, and Sri Wahyu Handayani*

**Sustainability of Area Management Agro-Eco Tourism-Halal (Case Study: Agro-Eco-Tourism-Halal, Rancanmaya, Bogor)** ..... 823  
*MDD Maharani, Niogroho Budi Satrio Sukandani, and Soegiyono*

**Cross-Generation Agrarian Conflict in Indonesia's Palm Land** ..... 828  
*Andi Setyo Pambudi and Bambang Pramojo*

**Potential and Strategy of Ecotourism Development in Lac Mbilulu Pakpak Bharat Regency** ..... 837  
*Munthe Muhtar, Nurhadi Ahsan, and Hadi Pramono*

**The Social Network Analysis in the Environmental-Based Tourism Development in Manggar Belitung Timur** ..... 844  
*Putra Pratama Saputra, Laila Hayati, and Noryandra Iham Bahtera*

**"The Explorer": Introducing The Potential of Kota Lama Semarang through Mobile Game** ..... 850  
*Wandah Wibawanto, Rahina Nugrahani, and Abdul Halim Husain*

**How Important Events and Their Environmental Practices are to Visitors: The Case of Serralves em Festa!** ..... 858  
*Ana Pinto Borges, Elvira Vieira, and Catarina Nadais*

**Determinant Factors of Goat Milk Production in Banyuwangi East Java** ..... 868  
*Asnani Khusna, Mujahidul Anggriani Unnuh Mucayyanah, Tri Anggraeni Kusumawati, and Ahmad Romadhoni Surya Putra*

**Acceleration E-Business Co-Creation for Service Innovation Toba Lake Tourism MSME** ..... 873  
*Mariana Simanjuntak and I Made Subesna*

**Women's Role in Village-Based Renewable Energy Development: Assessing the Challenges and Supports** ..... 886  
*Laila Kholid Affrians*

**Travel Influencer on Instagram : Visual Content Management Based on Digital Influencer Marketing Strategy for Tourism Awareness Groups (Kecompoks Sadar Wisata) Kelipate Kendal** ..... 891  
*Pratiwinindyia Ratih Ays, Nugrahani Rahina, Wibawanto Wandah, Rebovo Tjatter, and Rafinda Ascariena*

**Rural Economics, Tourism Development Local wisdom based and the role of Digitalizations** ..... 898  
*Maridiana*

**An Assessment of Carbon Content in Land-use Changes for Rice Plants in IKN Buffer Areas** ..... 904  
*Muhammad Arga Hita, Sahid Susanto, Lely Fitriana, Muhamad Khoiru Zaki, Chandra Setyawan, and Ngaditih Ngaditih*

**Analysis of Economic Growth and Regional Inequalities in Bangka Belitung Islands Province Over the 2010-2020 Period** ..... 911  
*Darul Arham and Nurliawati*

**Potential of Mangrove Ecosystems for Ecotourism based on Tourist Perceptions** ..... 917  
*Nabil Zurba, Edwuryah, Neneng Marlian, Mohamad Gazali, Mira Masliza Rahmi, Romi Arif Munandar, and Jerry Gunandar*

**Outsider Roles in the Tenggere's Change in Ngadas Village** ..... 925  
*Endangno Budi Santosa and M Rizki Firdaus*

**Collaborative Governance in Increasing Foreign Investment in Dumai City, Riau Province, Indonesia** ..... 936  
*Nisa Yesliani, Utang Sawaryo, Nandang A. Deharnoor, and Dede Sri Kartini*

**Community Participation in Handling Coastal Abrasion in Bengkulu Regency, Indonesia** ..... 944  
*Sylvina Basadi, Rahman Mulyawan, Utang Sawaryo, and Neneng Yuni Hartingsih*

**The Potential of Local Wisdom for Food Processing as Tourism Attraction in the District of Muna, Southeast Sulawesi** ..... 951  
*Siti Hermina, Hedy Sri Alimasa-Putra, Hendrie Adji Kusworo, and Chafid Fandeli*

**Diffusion of Tourism Innovation in "Air Jangkang Reclamation Village" Bangka Regency** ..... 956  
*Ferdians*

**Healthy City and Tourism Planning to Create a Healthy Tourism "Case Study of Bangka Island, Indonesia"** ..... 967  
*Ridwan Sutrisadi, Naya Cinantya Dressalita, and Nilam Atsirina Krisnaputri*

**Analysis of Klassen Typology and Spatial Pole of Economic Growth and Development of Disadvantaged Regions in Bangka Belitung Islands Province over the 2004-2019 Period** ..... 978  
*Darul Arham Pan Budi Marwoto, and Nurliawati*

<b>Structural Change: Applying Location Quotient and Shift-Share Analysis to Determine Leading Sectors in Bangka Regency Over The 2008–2021 Period</b> .....	990
<i>Pan Budi Marwoto, Dandi Arkan, and Ridha Iqbal</i>	
<b>Interest-Free Unsecured Micro Credit Innovation for People's Oil Palm Plantation in Bangka Regency</b> .....	996
<i>Pan Budi Marwoto, Dandi Arkan, Hermanto Siregar, and Aviliani</i>	
<b>Management of Ex-Tin Mining Land for Rice Cultivation as an Effort to Achieve Food Security</b> .....	1001
<i>Syamsudin, Pan Budi Marwoto, Dandi Arkan, Elhas Gani, and Muhammad Defriano</i>	



## Peer-Review Statements

Arifin Dwi Saputro<sup>1\*</sup>, Lilik Sutiarso<sup>1</sup>, Rudiati Evi Masithoh<sup>1</sup>, Jik Chang Leong<sup>2</sup>

Katharina Keiblinger<sup>3</sup>, Chaleeda Borompichaichartkul<sup>4</sup>, Omer Said Toker<sup>5</sup>, Rosnah Shamsudin<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> International Master Degree Program in Food Sciences, National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Soil Research, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Austria

<sup>4</sup> Department of Food Technology, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand

<sup>5</sup> Department of Food Engineering, Yildiz Technical University, Turkey

<sup>6</sup> Faculty of Engineering, University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

\*Editors-in-Chief of the International Conference on Sustainable Environment, Agriculture and Tourism (ICOSEAT).

Email: [arifin\\_saputro@ugm.ac.id](mailto:arifin_saputro@ugm.ac.id)

All of the articles in this proceedings volume have been presented at the ICOSEAT during July 21–23, 2022 in Bangka, Indonesia. These articles have been peer reviewed by the members of the Scientific Committee and approved by the Editor-in-Chief, who affirms that this document is a truthful description of the conference's review process.

### 1. REVIEW PROCEDURE

The reviews were single-blind. Each submission was examined by at least 2 reviewer(s) independently. The conference submission management system was <http://paper.uasc.ugm.ac.id>

Each paper was reviewed by 2–3 reviewers. The initial review process was performed by the editor by assessing the suitability of the paper content with the conference theme. If the paper is in accordance with the conference topic, then the paper will be sent to the reviewer for review. If all reviewers accept the paper, then the paper is approved for acceptance. If one or two reviewers refuse and the other accepts it will be evaluated by the editor in chief.

If the cause of the paper is rejected for certain reasons that may still be corrected in a short time, then the paper is considered for acceptance. The author is given the opportunity to revise the paper (if any revision from the reviewer) according to the specified time limit. If all

reviewers reject the paper, the paper will also be rejected by the editor in chief.

### 2. QUALITY CRITERIA

The assessment of the paper's feasibility to be accepted is based on several considerations, such as:

1. The work well organized or not
2. The work well described or not
3. The work scientifically sound and not misleading or not
4. The availability of appropriate and adequate references to related and previous work
5. The English used correct and readable or not

### 3. KEY METRICS

Total submissions	255
Number of articles sent for peer review	177
Number of accepted articles	131
Acceptance rate	51.4%
Number of reviewers	23

© The Author(s) 2023

A. D. Saputro et al. (Eds.), ICOSEAT 2022, AISR 26, pp. 1–2, 2023.

[https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-686-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-686-2_1)

Bahan diunggah oleh eptia

#### 4. COMPETING INTERESTS

Neither the Editor-in-Chief nor any member of the Scientific Committee declares any competing interest.

**Open Access** This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any noncommercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.





# Characteristics of Brown Rice (*Oryza nivara*) Stored Using Various Packaging with The Addition of Pandanus Powder (*Pandanus amaryllifolius* Roxb.)

Arif Hadis Samudra<sup>1</sup> Rera Aga Salihat<sup>1</sup> I Ketut Budaraga<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agricultural Product Technology Study Program, Faculty of Agriculture, Ekasakti University, Indonesia  
\*Corresponding author. Email: [budaraga1968@gmail.com](mailto:budaraga1968@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

Brown rice (*Oryza nivara*) is known to have a relatively low shelf life when compared to other types of rice. This study aims to determine the physico-chemical characteristics and to determine the best type of packaging for brown rice during storage with the addition of pandan leaf powder. The research method used is an exploratory method with various treatments of brown rice packaging (A: vacuum PE plastic, B: non-vacuum PE plastic, C: PP plastic sack, D: jute sack and E: non-vacuum PP plastic). Pandan leaf powder placed in a tea bag is added to brown rice. A pair of rice lice is also added to brown rice which is stored for one month. Parameters observed were moisture content, ash content, fat content, protein content, carbohydrate content, antioxidant content and grain fracture. The results showed that the best packaging for storing brown rice for one month was vacuum PE plastic packaging (Treatment A) with 10.56 % moisture content, 1.50% ash content, 11.31% fat content, 6.87% protein content, carbohydrate content 69.76%, antioxidant activity 12.37 %, percentage of broken grains 24.31 %.

**Keywords:** brown rice, packaging, storage, pandan leaves.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a grain type food plant that is used as a source of protein, energy, fiber, minerals and bioactive compounds in several countries [1], [2]. Worldwide, more than 769.9 million tonnes of rice are produced of which 80% is destined for human consumption [3]. Factors such as variety, climatic conditions, pre-harvest processes and technical management affect rice quality. However, the post-harvest process is no less important. Since rice has a growing season, it is necessary to ensure the sustainability and availability of the product for a long period of time [4]. The main post-harvest objectives are to maintain the quality of rice, avoid physical damage and changes in chemical composition, and prevent contamination by insects or fungi [5].

Therefore, the choice of procedures adopted in post-harvest operations such as drying, storage and processing can greatly affect rice quality [6], [7]. To ensure quality and minimize physical and chemical damage to rice, it is imperative to apply modern packaging technology and proper equipment [8].

Rice is a very strategic food commodity because it is the main staple food for most of the Indonesian population. Availability of sufficient food must be supported by a surplus of rice as food reserves. The management of this rice reserve is mandated by the government to the Logistics Affairs Agency (BULOG). Rice that enters Perum BULOG (2012) must meet the standards set by the government through Presidential Instruction No. 3 of 2012. The quality set by this Presidential Instruction is almost the same as grade III rice according to the standards set by BSN through SNI 6128:2008 [9].

Brown rice has a shorter shelf life than white rice. During storage, brown rice will give off a musty smell, because the red skin layer that is not polished contains oil, while in white rice this layer of oil has been lost along with the roasting process so that the shelf life is longer. This is what makes brown rice less attractive to the public, so a better storage process is needed for brown rice [10].

Storage of rice must be done properly to protect rice from weather and pests, prevent or inhibit the decline in quality and nutritional value. To prevent a decrease in

the quality of rice during storage, one way to do it is by packaging. Packaging protects the product from physical, chemical and biological damage. The purpose of packaging is to avoid damage caused by microbial, physical, chemical, biochemical, water vapor and gas transfer, UV light and temperature changes. Packaging can also extend the shelf life of the product [10].

Warehouse pests are one of the causes of rice damage during storage. To prevent warehouse pests from growing, spraying with fungicides or other preservatives is carried out. Plants that are currently being developed as natural insecticides are plants that produce essential oils. This underlies the use of fragrant pandan leaves as a natural insecticide, which in general is only used as a food coloring and aroma enhancer [11]. This study aims to determine the physicochemical characteristics and to determine the best type of packaging for brown rice during storage with the addition of fragrant pandan leaf powder.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used in this study were fragrant pandan leaves (*Pandanus amaryllifolius*), rice lice (*Sitophilus oryzae*) obtained in Bidar Alam, Sangir Jujan District, South Solok Regency and brown rice (*Oryza nivara*) from Kasang, Batang Anai District, Padang Pariaman Regency, West Sumatra. Chemicals used: Selenium mix, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, distilled water, NaOH 40%, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, Tashiro indicator (*methyl red and methylene blue*), 0.1 N HCl, n-hexane, DPPH and methanol.

The tools used in this research are: blender, sieve, digital scale, scissors, tweezers, label paper, oven, gegep, desiccator, porcelain dish, kiln, kjeldhal flask, distillation apparatus, burette, measuring pipette, Erlenmeyer, dropper, glass beaker, soxhlet, electric heater, filter paper, fat flask, spectrophotometer, types of packaging (vacuum plastic, non-vacuum plastic, PP plastic, jute sack, plastic sack) and other glassware.

This research was conducted in an exploratory manner with different types of packaging on rice, namely: A = Vacuum plastic (PE), B = Non-vacuum plastic (PE), C = plastic sack (PP), D = jute sack, E = PP plastic/jar.

The brown rice storage process consists of four stages, namely: 1) preparation of test insects, 2) preparation of test insect feed, 3) manufacture of fragrant pandan powder, 4) packaging and storage of brown rice.

### 2.1. Preparation of test insects

Rice louse (*Sitophilus oryzae*) is taken from rice that has been damaged by lice.

### 2.2. Preparation of test insect feed

The test insect feed was 150 grams of brand X rice which had been sorted so that there were no insect pests.

### 2.3. The process of making fragrant pandan leaf powder [12]

The selected fragrant pandan leaves are cleaned then sliced and dried in the sun to remove the moisture content (<14%) on the leaves, until the leaves are completely dry, i.e. the color of the leaves fades and the leaves feel stiff. After the dry leaves are pured with a blender, then sieved with a 100 mesh sieve to obtain a homogeneous fragrant pandan leaf powder. The powder is then weighed and placed in a tea bag, so that the pandan powder is located in one place, does not mix directly with the size but gives off a distinctive pandan aroma.

### 2.4. The process of packaging and storage of brown rice

The fragrant pandan leaf powder that has been prepared in tea bags is then put into each package (vacuum plastic, non-vacuum plastic, plastic sack, jute sack, PP plastic/jar) according to the treatment which already contained 200 grams of brown rice and a pair of lice. rice, then each package is closed and stored for 1 month.

### 2.5. Observation

Observational parameters in this study consisted of: water content test, ash content test, protein content test, fat content test, carbohydrate content test, antioxidant activity, growth of rice lice and percentage of broken grains.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Water Content

Water content is the amount of water contained in an object such as soil, rocks, agricultural materials, and so on [13]. In this study, the highest water content of brown rice was produced by burlap sack packaging, namely 13.35% and the lowest water content of brown rice was using vacuum plastic packaging, namely 10.56 % with a control ratio of 8.77 %. The average water content of brown rice during storage for 1 month is presented in Table 1.

The difference in the water content of brown rice using packaging is caused by the packaging itself. Vacuum packaging is an airtight packaging of food products that avoids direct contact with air and produces the lowest water vapor in brown rice compared to other packaging, so that the product inside is protected from gas or water exchange from outside during storage [14].

**Table 1.** Moisture Content of Brown Rice Stored for 1 Month with Various Packaging

Packaging	Water content (%)
Control	8.77
A = Vacuum plastic (PE)	10.56
C = Plastic sack (PP)	11.21
B = Non-vacuum plastic (PE)	11.32
E = Plastic PP	12.93
D = Burlap sack	13.35

Meanwhile, the packaging of the burlap sack occurs in the process of evaporation and absorption of large oxygen from the surrounding environment, causing the water content to increase. These results are in line with research [10], that the increase in the water content of rice is due to rice with bucket containers (control) in direct contact with the air so as to produce the highest water vapor in rice compared to other containers.

**3.2. Ash Content**

Ash content shows the amount of mineral content in a material [13]. This study showed the ash content in brown rice from the lowest to the highest yield with a control of 1.49%. The average ash content of brown rice during 1 month of storage is presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Ash Content of Brown Rice Stored for 1 Month with Various Packaging

Packaging	Ash Content (%)
Control	1.49
A = Vacuum plastic (PE)	1.50
C = Plastic sack (PP)	1.59
B = Non-vacuum plastic (PE)	1.63
E = Plastic PP	1.67
D = Burlap sack	1.68

This study is not in line with research Pramusita et al., 2019 which states that the increase in ash content is contrary to the water content, the higher the water content the lower the ash content [15]. The highest mineral content was produced by PP plastic packaging and the lowest was produced by vacuum packaging, but the results of ash content from various packages did not differ much. These results are in accordance with the research which states that the results of the analysis of ash content with various packaging are not much different [16]. Other things that affect the ash content are the length of storage, various packaging, and the type of material and method of ashing. During the storage of rice, the mineral content of various packages still showed an increase. This is influenced because the packaging on the material does not damage the mineral content of rice during storage, so that the ash content in brown rice is still high.

**3.3. Fat Content**

The highest fat content of rice seeds is found in the institutions and aleurone layer that collects in fat granules [17]. This study shows that the results of the fat content of brown rice from the lowest to the highest with a control of 12.41%. The average fat content of brown rice during 1 month storage is presented in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Fat Content of Brown Rice Stored for 1 Month with Various Packaging

Packaging	Fat Content (%)
Control	12.41
A = Vacuum plastic (PE)	8.40
C = Plastic sack (PP)	11.31
B = Non-vacuum plastic (PE)	16.41
E = Plastic PP	18.22
D = Burlap sack	21.43

The effect of decreasing and increasing fat content during storage is due to the presence of air in the packaging material itself. If air is not present in the packaging material, there will be an inhibition of the oxidation reaction so that the fat content will decrease in vacuum plastic and PP plastic [18]. Meanwhile, the packaging of jute sacks allows the exchange of oxygen resulting in high oxidation of fat content during storage.

**3.4. Protein Content**

Protein is a food substance that is very important for the body, because in addition to functioning as fuel in the body but also as a building block and regulator. This study shows the results of protein levels from the lowest to the highest. The average protein content of brown rice during storage for 1 month is presented in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Protein content of brown rice stored for 1 month with various packaging

Packaging	Protein Content (%)
Control	4.62
A = Vacuum plastic (PE)	6.87
C = Plastic sack (PP)	7.27
B = Non-vacuum plastic (PE)	8.43
E = Plastic PP	9.83
D = Burlap sack	11.40

Protein content in brown rice experienced the lowest increase in vacuum plastic, non-vacuum plastic, and PP plastic packaging and the highest increase in burlap sack packaging during storage. This is thought to be due to the influence of temperature on packaging during storage [19]. The relatively high temperature in vacuum, non-vacuum, and PP plastic packaging resulted in a smaller increase in protein, while in open burlap sack packaging, it was possible to exchange temperatures during storage. This is in accordance with the research which states that the damage to foodstuffs such as the nutritional quality of packaged materials includes water content, protein content, starch content and others due to



physical processes that are influenced by temperature, water content, and environmental humidity [10].

### 3.5. Carbohydrate Content

Carbohydrates are natural products that have many important functions in plants and animals. This study shows the levels of carbohydrates produced by various packaging during storage. The highest carbohydrate content during storage was produced by vacuum plastic packaging, namely 69.76% and the lowest was produced by jute sack packaging, namely 52.23%. The average carbohydrate content of brown rice during storage for 1 month is presented in Table 5.

**Table 5.** Carbohydrate Content of Brown Rice Stored for 1 Month with Various Packaging

Packaging	Carbohydrate Content (%)
D = Burlap sack	52.23
C = Plastic sack (PP)	60.92
B = Non-vacuum plastic (PE)	61.49
E = Plastic PP	69.05
A = Vacuum plastic (PE)	69.76

This is mainly due to the storage temperature. The higher the temperature, the greater the carbohydrate changes that occur. The increase in amylose content during storage was thought to be caused by the hydrolysis of amylose by the amylase enzyme. Hydrolysis of carbohydrates is influenced by the temperature factor. Temperature is a factor that affects starch hydrolysis. The increasing temperature will accelerate the hydrolysis of starch during storage. The highest carbohydrate content of brown rice is produced by vacuum plastic packaging and the lowest is burlap sack packaging, while the factors that affect the carbohydrate content of brown rice are caused by several aspects such as rice quality, storage, and the growth process after planting [20].

### 3.6. Antioxidant Activity

Antioxidants are chemical compounds that can donate one or more electrons to free radicals, so that these free radicals can be quenched.

This study showed that the antioxidant activity from the lowest to the highest was different in each packaging. The highest yield was obtained in PP plastic packaging, namely 58.93% and the lowest yield was obtained by burlap sack packaging, which was 6.88%. The average antioxidant activity of brown rice during 1 month storage is presented in Table 6.

The antioxidant activity of brown rice in PP plastic packaging is greater than the antioxidant activity of other packaging. The increase in antioxidants is also due to the increase in the main secondary metabolite that functions as an antioxidant in brown rice, namely anthocyanins as a result of the storage process, because if the anthocyanin level is high, the antioxidant activity is also high [21]. Another factor that supports

antioxidant activity is that the compounds found in brown rice are able to donate hydrogen atoms to DPPH free radicals and turn them into more stable radicals. The presence of antioxidants can counteract free radical compounds that trigger regenerative diseases in the body [22]. The higher the percentage of antioxidants, the better the ability of an ingredient to inhibit free radicals [23].

**Table 6.** Red Antioxidant Activity Stored for 1 Month with Various Packaging

Packaging	Antioxidant Activity (%)
D = Burlap sack	6.88
B = Non-vacuum plastic(PE)	8.59
A = Vacuum plastic (PE)	12.37
C = Plastic sack (PP)	44.16
E = Plastic PP	58.93

The burlap sack packaging showed the lowest levels of antioxidants during storage. This decrease is thought to be an antioxidant in red which is oxidized by oxygen during storage of brown rice. This is in accordance with research Fibriyanti, 2012 which states that burlap sack packaging has large pores so that it is possible to be exposed to oxygen [10]. High oxygen pressure, extensive contact with oxygen causes an increase in the initiation and propagation chain of oxidation reactions and decreases antioxidant activity.

### 3.7. Rice lice growth

Insect contamination is high in jute sack packaging due to the high water content in the packaging. The higher the moisture content of the material, the higher the level of insect development. This is in accordance with research which states that the packaging of burlap sacks is the most contaminated with rice lice, because burlap sacks are in direct contact with air and therefore have a high water content [24]. While in vacuum packaging showed death in rice lice. In airtight packaging (hermetic conditions) and there is no oxygen circulation, the insect's survival will be limited, according to the oxygen threshold limit in the room so that the insects will die. In addition to water content, the availability of oxygen is a factor that affects the growth of insects in burlap sack packaging, thus enabling the growth of warehouse pests.

### 3.8. Broken Grain

Broken grains are smaller grains of rice, mainly caused by the growth of rice lice. This study showed the results of the broken grain test from the lowest to the highest with a control of 23.40%, the lowest result was indicated by vacuum plastic packaging, namely 24.31%, and the highest yield was produced by jute sack packaging, which was 32.33%. The average broken grain of brown rice during storage for 1 month is presented in Table 7.

**Table 7.** Broken grains of brown rice stored for 1 month with various packaging

Packaging	Broken Grain (%)
Control	23.40
A = Vacuum plastic (PE)	24.31
C = Plastic sack (PP)	25.08
B = Non-vacuum plastic (PE)	28.56
E = Plastic PP	28.94
D = Burlap sack	32.33

In vacuum plastic packaging has the lowest results on the grain fracture test. The low percentage of broken rice in vacuum packaging is caused by the components and carbohydrates in the seeds becoming more compact, so that the grain becomes strong and does not break easily during storage [25]. Storage time can affect grain fracture in rice, this is due to the growth of rice lice. The growth of rice lice can damage the quality of rice such as broken grains. The growth of rice lice on vacuum plastic packaging showed the lowest yield, so that broken grains on vacuum plastic also showed the lowest yield.

Burlap sack packaging showed the highest grain yield during storage. This is not in accordance with the research which states that the quality of rice during storage is mainly determined by the water content of rice [18]. At high moisture content, rice is relatively soft and will cause it to turn white and break easily, thereby increasing the number of broken grains during storage. While in this study there were only a few packages that matched this statement. Changes in the quality of rice are also caused by the attack of rice lice which causes the rice grains to crack and cause the grains to break. This is in accordance with the research which states that the increase in the percentage of broken grains and groats is also associated with the presence of rice lice [9].

**4. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS**

The results of this study indicate the characteristics of brown rice during storage using various packaging, namely; water content 10.56 - 13.35%, ash content 1.50 - 1.68%, fat content 8.40-21.43 %, protein content 6.87 - 8.43%, carbohydrate content 52.23 - 69.76 %, antioxidant activity 6.87 - 58.93%, percentage of broken grains 24.31 - 32.33%, growth of rice lice; PE vacuum plastic (dead), PE non-vacuum plastic (not expanded), PP plastic sacks (slightly expanded, PP plastic/jars (slightly expanded), burlap sacks (slightly expanded). The best packaging in brown rice storage in terms of physio-chemical characteristics with the addition of fragrant pandan leaves is vacuum plastic packaging (PE). From the results of the research that has been carried out, the authors suggest that further research be carried out on the storage of brown rice with the addition of other natural insecticides such as lemongrass leaves, papaya leaves, and cinnamon leaves to be used as a comparison against pandan leaves.

**REFERENCES**

[1] C. D. Ferreira, G. H. Lang, I. S. Lindemann, N. S. Timm, J. F. Hoffmann, V. Ziegler, and M. Oliveira, "Postharvest UV-C irradiation for fungal control and reduction of mycotoxins in brown, black, and red rice during long-term storage," *Food Chem.* vol. 339 (2021) 127810. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127810.

[2] R. A. Salihat and D. P. Putra, "Pengaruh Substitusi Tepung Terigu Dengan Tepung Beras Ungu Terhadap Mutu Dan Aktivitas Antioksidan Brownies Kukus," *J. Teknol. Pangan* 15(2) (2021) 26-38. doi: 10.33005/jtp.v15i2.2942.

[3] FAO, "Rice market monitor (2018)," 2018. .

[4] E. Elert, "A good grain," *Nature* vol. 514 (2014) S50-S51.

[5] P. C. Coradi, C. H. P. Fernandes, and J. C. Helmich, "Adjustment of mathematical models and quality of soybean grains in the drying with high temperatures," *Rev. Bras. Eng. Agric. e Ambient.* 20(4) (2016) 385-392. doi: 10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v20n4p385-392.

[6] Z. M. Shad and G. G. Atungulu, "Post-harvest kernel discoloration and fungi activity in long-grain hybrid, pureline and medium-grain rice cultivars as influenced by storage environment and antifungal treatment," *J. Stored Prod. Res.* vol. 81, (2019) 91-99. doi: 10.1016/j.jspr.2019.02.002.

[7] B. J. Olorunfemi and S. E. Kayode, "Post-Harvest Loss and Grain Storage Technology- A Review," *Turkish J. Agric. - Food Sci. Technol.* 9(1) (2021) 75-83. doi: 10.24925/turjaf.v9i1.75-83.3714.

[8] A. Müller, M. T. Nunes, V. Maldaner, P. C. Coradi, R. S. de Moraes, S. Martens, A. F. Leal, V. F. Pereira, and C. K. Marin, "Rice Drying, Storage and Processing: Effects of Post-Harvest Operations on Grain Quality," *Rice Sci.* 29(1) (2022) 16-30, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.rsci.2021.12.002.

[9] Ratnawati, "Perubahan kualitas beras selama penyimpanan," *Pangan* 22(3) (2013) 199-208.

[10] Y. W. Fibriyanti, "Kajian Kualitas Kimia dan Biologi Beras Merah (*Oryza nivara*) Dalam Beberapa Pewadahan Selama Penyimpanan," Universitas Sebelas Maret, 2012.

[11] Y. M. Susanti and F. Pasaru, "Efektifitas Ekstrak Daun Pandan Wangi (*Pandanus amaryllifolius* Roxb) Terhadap Kumbang Beras (*Sitophilus oryzae* L.)," *J Agrol.* 24(3) (2017) 208-213.

[12] E. Kurniati, "Uji Repelensi dari Serbuk Daun Pandan Wangi (*Pandanus amaryllifolius* Roxb)

- Terhadap Kutu Beras (*Sitophilus oryzae* L) dan Sumbangsihnya pada Materi Hama dan Penyakit Pada Tanaman Di Kelas VIII SMP / MTs," Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah, 2017.
- [13] F. G. Winarno, Ilmu Pangan dan Gizi. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama, 2008.
- [14] E. Julianti and N. Mimi, "Buku Ajar Teknologi Pengemasan. Departemen Teknologi Pertanian Fakultas Pertanian," 2007, p. 205.
- [15] N. Pramuisita, I. Fitriana, E. Y. Sani, and Haslina, "Lama Penyimpanan Terhadap Kadar Air, Kadar Abu dan Kadar Serat Kasar Marshmallow Semangka," J. Mhs. Univ. Semarang, 2019.
- [16] Jumali, S. D. Indrasari, and B. Kusbiantoro, "Pengaruh Bahan Pengemas terhadap Mutu Beras Padi Aromatik selama Penyimpanan," J. Penelit. Pertan. Tanam. Pangan 30(3) (2015) 154-163.
- [17] F. Pangeran and N. Rusyanti, "Characteristics And Quality Of Local Rice In Bulungan District, North Kalimantan," Canrea J. Food Technology, Nutr. Culin. J. 1(2) (2018) 107-117, 2018.
- [18] R. Yulia, L. C. Siechara, A. Casper, J. P. Soedarto, T. Fax, and P. I. Ratnawati, "Pengaruh Penyimpanan Terhadap Kualitas Beras: Perubahan Sifat Kimia Selama Penyimpanan," no. 024 (2011) 2-5.
- [19] Y. Karo, R. Nopianti, and S. Lestari, "Pengaruh Variasi Suhu Terhadap Mutu Abon Ikan Ekonomis Rendah Selama Penyimpanan," J. Teknol. Has. Perikan. 6(1) (2017) 80-91.
- [20] M. I. Nugraha, Tamrin, and N. Asyik, "Karakterisasi Sifat Fisik, Kimia, dan Aktivitas Antioksidan Pada Beras Merah Varietas Bulu Bulu Asal Kabupaten Kolaka Dan Kabupaten Konawe Selatan," J. Sains dan Teknol. Pangan 3(3) (2018) 1283-1296.
- [21] H. Winarsi, "Susu Kecambah Kedelai Basah Kaya Protein Lebih Disukai Sebagai Minuman Alternatif Untuk Obesitas," J. Gizi dan Pangan Soedirman 2(1) (2018) 32. doi: 10.20884/1.jgps.2018.2.1.896.
- [22] I. K. Budaraga and R. A. Salihat, "Antioxidant Activity of 'Broken Skin' Purple Rice, 'Skinned' Purple Rice, and Purple Rice Stem Organically Cultivated in Indonesia," Int. J. Adv. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol. 10(5) (2020) 2132-2137. doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.10.5.9634.
- [23] R. A. Salihat and D. P. Putra, "Pengujian Mutu Dan Aktivitas Antioksidan Brownies Panggang Dari Substitusi Tepung Terigu Dengan Tepung Beras Ungu," J. Sains dan Teknol. Pangan 6(2) (2021) 3817-3830. doi: 10.33772/jstp.v6i2.17287.
- [24] T. U. W. Pertiwi, "Kualitas Sensori dan Penghambatan Kontaminasi Insekta Beras Organik Mentiwangi dengan Berbagai Jenis Pengemas Selama Penyimpanan," Universitas Sebelas Maret, 2011.
- [25] W. Dewayani and N. Razak, "Efek Jenis Kemasan terhadap Kualitas Gabah dan Beras Varietas Cigeulis," J. Pengkaj. dan Pengemb. Teknol. Pertan. 16(1) (2013) 8-19. doi: 10.21082/jppptp.v16n1.2013.p.



# CERTIFICATE

## INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SUSTAINABLE ENVIRONMENT, AGRICULTURE, AND TOURISM (ICOSEAT)

21-23 July 2022 in Bangka Island, Indonesia

Hereby acknowledge the participation and contribution of:

**Dr.Ir. I Ketut Budaraga,MSi**

as Presenter

Organized by:



Sponsored by:



**Prof. Dr. Ir. Eni Harmayani, M. Sc.**

Dean of Faculty of Agricultural Technology Universitas Gadjah Mada



**Chandra Setyawan, Ph.D.**

Chairperson of ICOSEAT 2022